

## Hemblade, Rob

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 17 September 2019 15:11  
**To:** Ray Williams; Martin; John & Ruth Wride  
**Cc:** Hemblade, Rob; Hancock, Shane; Paul Seville; White, Susan; Philip Bauer  
**Subject:** Re: Footpath diversion at Little Pengethly Farm - HN16

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of **Balfour Beatty**. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mr Ray Willians & Martin,

Thank you for your time & courtesy given to John Wride & myself on our site visit to Little Pengethley Farm on Thursday 8th August.

Please accept my apologies for the time taken to respond.

Having walked the route of the permissive path we at Herefordshire Ramblers are happy to accept this permissive route with some reservations and resolutions.

1. At the northern end of the path, the gateway should be cleared of vegetation and correctly signed off the road.
2. Where the path comes south alongside the road there were signs of equipment over the path. A raised kerb would show line of the path and prevent encroachment.
3. The enclosed path around three sides of transport yard must be cleared of undergrowth and kept clear.
4. Where the path crosses the roadway it should be clearly signed for pedestrians and drivers to warn of possible danger.

Thanks for the opportunity to comment on the proposed diversion.

Best Regards,

Arthur Lee.

Chair - Herefordshire Ramblers.

Landline: [01432 264374](tel:01432 264374)

Mobile: [07964 552941](tel:07964 552941)

On 10 Aug 2019, at 11:37, [REDACTED] wrote:

Dear Arthur & Ray

Thanks for meeting on Thursday and hopefully we will have a solution to suit all.

Please keep me updated with progress so that I can report back to the parish council.

regards

Martin

On 06/08/2019 14:01, Martin wrote:

Dear Paul

Thanks for organising this.

I've copied in Ray Williams, agent for the landowner, and 9am Thursday is fine.

(Just spoken with Arthur too and given directions hopefully avoiding the closed sections of the A49)

## Hemblade, Rob

---

**From:** Ray Williams [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 27 November 2019 09:51  
**To:** Hemblade, Rob  
**Subject:** FW: Consultation for Diversion Footpath HN16 (Client M.Green, Ditton Farm).

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of **Balfour Beatty**. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

Ray Williams BSc Ag.Eng.

RW Agricultural Consultancy Ltd

Tel 07889 988883

---

**From:** Shelley Renwick [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 04 September 2017 14:58  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Consultation for Diversion Footpath HN16 (Client M.Green, Ditton Farm).

Ray

I refer to your letter date stamped by the British Horse Society 24 Aug 2017, the contents of which have been noted.

As the Herefordshire Access Officer for the British Horse Society I **generally provide a neutral response in** reply to statutory consultations which come to me concerning footpaths unless there is a potential impact on horse riders that I am aware of. For this particular proposal, the BHS's response is neutral.

If you have any further queries please contact me on [REDACTED]

My regards

Shelley Renwick BSc (Hons) MRICS PGDL AIOSH

--  
Shelley Renwick  
[REDACTED]

## Hemblade, Rob

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 25 July 2019 18:23  
**To:** Hemblade, Rob  
**Cc:** White, Susan  
**Subject:** Re: Footpath diversion at Little Pengethly Farm - HN16

Dear Bob

Thanks for the update and understand your position. I'm trying to reach an agreement and can see the merits of both sides. I have agreement from the landowner and RA to meet on site.

I accept the normal requirements to make any diversion as short as reasonable possible to protect the interests of footpath users.

The permissive route has been in place for a number of years, is acceptable to the PC and caused no local issues. The dog leg extension to the walk is 60m on a total route of over 800m. This is a recreational route rather than a practical short cut to local services. There is a level difference of perhaps 1m or more between each side of the path and the roadway where the culvert and crossing are proposed which adds to the work especially as RA don't want steps.

The landowner has tried to make amends for an historic problem that they inherited by constructing a proper alternate route, level surfaced and fenced. They have processed the consultation requirements and agreed to pay the application costs.

I believe that if Balfour Beatty consider the Ramblers' objection as minor, you can accept the application and refer to the Secretary of State for determination. Given the historic nature of the issue, that a permissive route has been installed and used satisfactorily for some time, this may be an appropriate and fair solution for all parties?

I'll update you after the site meeting with landowner and RA.

regards  
Martin

On 24/07/2019 08:33, Hemblade, Rob wrote:

> Dear Martin,

>

> I have had a chat with Shane. Essentially if the landowner would agree to plan A rather than Plan B (see attached) then I think we would be able to move forward. Whilst I understand this would involve installing a culvert crossing and a gate, it is more straight forward than the alternative and would not involve doubling back.

>

> Regards

>

>

> Rob Hemblade

> Rights of Way Officer | Balfour Beatty Living Places

> M: 01432 349512 | E:rob.hemblade@balfourbeatty.com Unit 3 Thorn

> Business Park, Rotherwas, Hereford, HR2 6JT

>

> www.balfourbeatty.com | @balfourbeatty | LinkedIn

>

>

>

> -----Original Message-----

## Hemblade, Rob

---

**From:** Ray Williams [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 27 November 2019 09:51  
**To:** Hemblade, Rob  
**Subject:** FW: Pre order consultation footpath HN16 diversion

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of **Balfour Beatty**. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

Ray Williams BSc Ag.Eng.

RW Agricultural Consultancy Ltd

Tel 07889 988883

---

**From:** Peter McKay [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 25 August 2017 19:57  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Pre order consultation footpath HN16 diversion

Thank you for your consultation, and I also see no reason why this diversion should not be allowed.

Rgds

Peter